IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.8 OF 2001
IN
SUMMARY SUIT NO.369 OF 1999

Bectochem Engineers ..Plaintiffs

Vs.

Mayo (India) Ltd. ..Defendants

Mr.N.D.Rao for the defendants

CORAM : S.U.KAMDAR, J
DATED : 21ST SEPTEMBER, 2005

P.C.:

1. Inspite of notice, the plaintiffs are not appearing in the matter. However, the learned counsel for the defendants makes a statement that the that the defendant company is declared as sick undertaking under section 22 of the Sick Industrial Companies Act, 1985. In that view of the matter, Summons for Judgment is dismissed with liberty to take out fresh Summons for Judgement after the defendant company comes out from the declaration of sick undertaking.

2. The defendants are directed to keep the

Office of the Prothonotary and Senior Master, High Court, Bombay and the plaintiff's advocate informed about the status of the proceedings before the BIFR every six months and if any final order is passed then such order to be communicated within 15 days from the passing of the said order.